UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,240	07/17/2006	Noriko Sugimoto	2006_0986A	1802
	7590 12/09/200 , LIND & PONACK I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East			COPPOLA, JACOB C	
Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/586,240	SUGIMOTO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JACOB C. COPPOLA	3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. UNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ee. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	-			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -		

Application/Control Number: 10/586,240 Page 2 - 20091201

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 and §372.

2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not

so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

3. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.499, Applicants are required, in reply to this action, to

elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-14, 17, and 18, drawn to a playback apparatus.

Group II, claims 15 and 16, drawn to a server apparatus.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept

under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special

technical features for the following reasons: The server apparatus of Group II lacks the special

technical feature of a judging unit operable to judge whether a disc region code assigned to an

optical disc matches an apparatus region code assigned to the playback apparatus.

Election of Species

5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,240 Page 3 - 20091201

Art Unit: 3621

6. The species are as follows:

Species A

Subspecies A1: Represented by Figure 3 of the original specification.

Subspecies A2: Represented by Figure 19 of the original specification.

Subspecies A3: Represented by Figure 21 of the original specification.

Species B

Subspecies B1: Represented by Figure 1 of the original specification.

Subspecies B2: Represented by Figure 25 of the original specification.

Subspecies B3: Represented by Figure 26 of the original specification.

Species C

unless accompanied by an election.

Subspecies C1: Represented by Figure 23A of the original specification.

Subspecies C2: Represented by Figure 23B of the original specification.

7. Applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a single subspecies of each species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. For example, Applicants may elect A3, B1, and C2. Additionally, the reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected subspecies, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

Application/Control Number: 10/586,240 Page 4 - 20091201

Art Unit: 3621

8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141.

- 9. If claims are added after the election, Applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 10. No claims are generic.
- 11. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species A represents various embodiments of a client configuration, Species B represents various embodiments of a server configuration, and Species C represents various configurations of a dynamic scenario.
- 12. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a subspecies of each species (*e.g.*, A1, B1, C2) and an election of an invention (*e.g.*, Group I) to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 13. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,240 Page 5 - 20091201

Art Unit: 3621

14. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACOB C. COPPOLA whose telephone number is (571)270-3922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 9 a.m. 5 p.m.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number: 10/586,240 Page 6 - 20091201

Art Unit: 3621

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JACOB C. COPPOLA/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621 December 1, 2009

/EVENS J. AUGUSTIN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3621